AF IW

Attorney Docket no: SEL 161

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first) class mail in an envelope addressed to: Shunpei Yamazaki et al. Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 09/500,897 Serial No.: 2006 (Date of Deposit) February 9, 2000 Shannon Wallace Filed: Name of applicant, assignee, or Registered Rep. Electronic View Finder Utilizing An For: Organic Electroluminescence Display) Signature Date Examiner: Justin Misleh Art Unit: 2612 Commissioner for Patents

RESPONSE (F) AFTER FINAL

Applicants have the following response to the Final Rejection of February 9, 2006.

Applicants will address each of the rejections in the order in which they appear in the Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §112

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner rejects Claims 1-16 and 20-31 under 35 USC §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

More specifically, the Examiner is contending that the "specification has not enabled one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the features of the Fifth (figures 7A and 7B), Sixth (figures 12A and 12B), and Seventh (figures 13A and 13B) Embodiments without undue experimentation."